S.289

An act relating to protecting consumers and promoting an open Internet in Vermont

The House proposes to the Senate to amend the bill by striking all after the enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

* * * Legislative Findings * * *

Sec. 1. FINDINGS

The General Assembly finds and declares that:

- (1) Our State has a compelling interest in preserving and promoting an open Internet in Vermont.
- (2) As Vermont is a rural state with many geographically remote locations, broadband Internet access service is essential for supporting economic and educational opportunities, strengthening health and public safety networks, and reinforcing freedom of expression and democratic, social, and civic engagement.
- (3) The accessibility and quality of communications networks in

 Vermont, specifically broadband Internet access service, will critically impact our State's future.
- (4) Net neutrality is an important topic for many Vermonters. Nearly
 50,000 comments attributed to Vermonters were submitted to the FCC during
 the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the Restoring Internet Freedom
 Order, WC Docket No. 17-108, FCC 17-166. Transparency with respect to the

network management practices of ISPs doing business in Vermont will continue to be of great interest to many Vermonters.

- (5) In 1996, Congress recognized that "[t]he Internet and other interactive computer services offer a forum for a true diversity of political discourse, unique opportunities for cultural development, and myriad avenues for intellectual activity" and "[i]ncreasingly Americans are relying on interactive media for a variety of political, educational, cultural, and entertainment services." 47 U.S.C. § 230(a)(3) and (5).
- (6) Many Vermonters do not have the ability to choose easily between Internet service providers (ISPs). This lack of a thriving competitive market, particularly in isolated locations, disadvantages the ability of consumers and businesses to protect their interests sufficiently.
- (7) Without net neutrality, "ISPs will have the power to decide which websites you can access and at what speed each will load. In other words, they'll be able to decide which companies succeed online, which voices are heard and which are silenced." Tim Berners-Lee, founder of the World Wide Web and Director of the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C), December 13, 2017.
- (8) The Federal Communications Commission's (FCC's) recent repeal of the federal net neutrality rules pursuant to its Restoring Internet Freedom Order manifests a fundamental shift in policy.

- (9) The FCC anticipates that a "light-touch" regulatory approach under Title I of the Communications Act of 1934, rather than "utility-style" regulation under Title II, will further advance the Congressional goals of promoting broadband deployment and infrastructure investment.
- (10) The FCC's regulatory approach is unlikely to achieve the intended results in Vermont. The policy does little, if anything, to overcome the financial challenges of bringing broadband service to hard-to-reach locations with low population density. However, it may result in degraded Internet quality or service. The State has a compelling interest in preserving and protecting consumer access to high quality Internet service.
- (11) The economic theory advanced by the FCC in 2010 known as the "virtuous circle of innovation" seems more relevant to the market conditions in Vermont. See In re Preserving the Open Internet, 25 F.C.C.R. 17905, 17910-11 (2010).
- enables a virtuous circle of innovation in which new uses of the network including new content, applications, services, and devices lead to increased end-user demand for broadband, which drives network improvements, which in turn lead to further innovative network uses. Novel, improved, or lower-cost offerings introduced by content, application, service, and device providers spur end-user demand and encourage broadband providers to expand their

networks and invest in new broadband technologies." 25 FCC Rcd. at 17910-11, upheld by Verizon v. FCC, 740 F.3d 623, 644-45 (D.C. Circuit 2014).

- (13) As affirmed by the FCC five years later, "[t]he key insight of the virtuous cycle is that broadband providers have both the incentive and the ability to act as gatekeepers standing between edge providers and consumers.

 As gatekeepers, they can block access altogether; they can target competitors, including competitors in their own video services; and they can extract unfair tolls." Open Internet Order, 30 FCC Rcd at para. 20.
- (14) The State may exercise its traditional role in protecting consumers from potentially unfair and anticompetitive business practices. Doing so will provide critical protections for Vermont individuals, entrepreneurs, and small businesses that do not have the financial clout to negotiate effectively with commercial providers, some of whom may provide services and content that directly compete with Vermont companies or companies with whom Vermonters do business.
- (15) The FCC's most recent order expressly contemplates state exercise of traditional police powers on behalf of consumers: "we do not disturb or displace the states' traditional role in generally policing such matters as fraud, taxation, and general commercial dealings, so long as the administration of such general state laws does not interfere with federal regulatory objectives."

Restoring Internet Freedom Order, WC Docket No. 17-108, FCC 17-166, para. 196.

- (16) The benefits of State measures designed to protect the ability of

 Vermonters to have unfettered access to the Internet far outweigh the benefits

 of allowing ISPs to manipulate Internet traffic for pecuniary gain.
- enforcement agencies preventing harm to consumers: "In the unlikely event that ISPs engage in conduct that harms Internet openness. . . we find that utility-style regulation is unnecessary to address such conduct. Other legal regimes particularly antitrust law and the FTC's authority under Section 5 of the FTC Act to prohibit unfair and deceptive practices provide protections to consumers." para. 140. The Attorney General enforces antitrust violations or violations of the Consumer Protection Act in Vermont.
- (18) The Governor's Executive Order No. 2-18, requiring all State agency contracts with Internet service providers to include net neutrality protections, manifests a significant and reasonable step toward preserving an open Internet in Vermont.
- (19) The State has a compelling interest in knowing with certainty what services it receives pursuant to State contracts.
- (20) Procurement laws are for the benefit of the State. When acting as a market participant, the government enjoys unrestricted power to contract with

whomever it deems appropriate and purchase only those goods or services it desires.

- (21) The disclosures required by this act are a reasonable exercise of the State's traditional police powers and will support the State's efforts to monitor consumer protection and economic factors in Vermont, particularly with regard to competition, business practices, and consumer choice, and will also enable consumers to stay apprised of the network management practices of ISPs offering service in Vermont.
- (22) The State is in the best position to balance the needs of its constituencies with policies that best serve the public interest. The State has a compelling interest in promoting Internet consumer protection and net neutrality standards. Any incidental burden on interstate commerce resulting from the requirements of this act is far outweighed by the compelling interests the State advances.
- * * * Consumer Protection; Disclosure; Net Neutrality Compliance * * * Sec. 2. 9 V.S.A. § 2466c is added to read:
- § 2466c. INTERNET SERVICE; NETWORK MANAGEMENT;
 ATTORNEY GENERAL REVIEW AND DISCLOSURE
- (a) The Attorney General shall review the network management practices of Internet service providers in Vermont and, to the extent possible, make a determination as to whether the provider's broadband Internet access service

<u>Communications Commission's 2015 Open Internet Order, "Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet," WC Docket No. 14-28, Report and Order on Remand, Declaratory Ruling and Order, 30 FCC Rcd 5601.</u>

(b) The Attorney General shall disclose his or her findings under this section on a publicly available, easily accessible website maintained by his or her office.

* * * Net Neutrality Study; Attorney General * * *

Sec. 3. NET NEUTRALITY STUDY

On or before December 15, 2018, the Attorney General, in consultation with the Commissioner of Public Service and with input from industry and consumer stakeholders, shall submit findings and recommendations in the form of a report or draft legislation to the Senate Committees on Finance and on Economic Development, Housing and General Affairs and the House Committees on Energy and Technology and on Commerce and Economic Development reflecting whether and to what extent the State should enact net neutrality rules applicable to Internet service providers offering broadband Internet access service in Vermont. Among other things, the Attorney General shall consider:

(1) the scope and status of federal law related to net neutrality and ISP regulation;

- (2) the scope and status of net neutrality rules proposed or enacted in state and local jurisdictions;
- (3) methods for and recommendations pertaining to the enforcement of net neutrality requirements;
- (4) the economic impact of federal or state changes to net neutrality policy, including to the extent practicable methods for and recommendations pertaining to tracking broadband investment and deployment in Vermont and otherwise monitoring market conditions in the State;
- (5) the efficacy of the Governor's Executive Order No. 2-18, requiring all State agency contracts with Internet service providers to include net neutrality protections;
- (6) proposed courses of action that balance the benefits to society that the communications industry brings with actual and potential harms the industry may pose to consumers; and
- (7) any other factors and considerations the Attorney General deems relevant to making recommendations pursuant to this section.
 - * * * Connectivity Initiative; Grant Eligibility; H.581 * * *
- Sec. 4. 30 V.S.A. § 7515b is amended to read:

§ 7515b. CONNECTIVITY INITIATIVE

(a) The purpose of the Connectivity Initiative is to provide each service location in Vermont access to Internet service that is capable of speeds of at

least 10 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload, or the FCC speed requirements established under Connect America Fund Phase II, whichever is higher, beginning with locations not served as of December 31, 2013 according to the minimum technical service characteristic objectives applicable at that time. Within this category of service locations, priority shall be given first to unserved and then to underserved locations. As used in this section, "unserved" means a location having access to only satellite or dial-up Internet service and "underserved" means a location having access to Internet service with speeds that exceed satellite and dial-up speeds but are less than 4 Mbps download and 1 Mbps upload. Any new services funded in whole or in part by monies from this Initiative shall be capable of being continuously upgraded to reflect the best available, most economically feasible service capabilities.

(b) The Department of Public Service shall publish annually a list of census blocks eligible for funding based on the Department's most recent broadband mapping data. The Department annually shall solicit proposals from service providers to deploy broadband to eligible census blocks. Funding shall be available for capital improvements only, not for operating and maintenance expenses. The Department shall give priority to proposals that reflect the lowest cost of providing services to unserved and underserved locations; however, the Department also shall consider:

- (1) the proposed data transfer rates and other data transmission characteristics of services that would be available to consumers;
 - (2) the price to consumers of services;
- (3) the proposed cost to consumers of any new construction, equipment installation service, or facility required to obtain service;
- (4) whether the proposal would use the best available technology that is economically feasible;
 - (5) the availability of service of comparable quality and speed; and
 - (6) the objectives of the State's Telecommunications Plan.

* * * Effective Date * * *

Sec. 5. EFFECTIVE DATE

This act shall take effect on passage.